

SKEWNESS

Jurnal Statistika, Aktuaria dan Sains Data Volume 2, No. 1, April 2025

Forecasting the Number of Participants of Social Security Agency's (BPJS) Programs in Banyumas Regency for Contributory Beneficiaries (PBI) Category Using Fuzzy Time Series Cheng Method

Charista Aprilia Putri^{1*}, Mashuri²

^{1,2}Mathematics Study Program, Mathematics Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Purwokerto, Indonesia. Corresponding e-mail: mashuri@unsoed.ac.id

Abstract. The Social Security Agency (BPJS) is an agency responsible for organizing the social security program for the community. It is found that the number of JKN PBI participants keeps on increasing each month as the services at BPJS improve. This research aims at predicting the number of JKN participants for PBI category for October 2023 based on the data on the number of JKN PBI participants in October 2022-September 2023 using the fuzzy time series Cheng method. Using the fuzzy time series Cheng method, it was predicted that in October 2023 the number of JKN PBI participants would be 1,040,000. In terms of its accuracy level, the forecasting using this method had an MSE value of 3.34 and MAPE value of 0.04%. Therefore, it could be concluded that the forecasting of JKN participants for PBI category was highly accurate.

Keywords: BPJS, number of participants, forecasting, fuzzy time series Cheng.

1 Introduction

The Social Security Agency (BPJS) is an agency that covers health or employment insurance for Indonesian society. One of the programs that BPJS manages is the National health insurance (JKN). This is the national social security system (SJSN) implemented under a social health insurance mechanism for members of society registered with BPJS to pay premium at a certain amount. Participation in JKN is divided into three. They are (i) non-wage recipient workers (PBPU) where participants bear the premium fee personally, (ii) wage recipient workers (PPU) for workers in a company receiving wage with their monthly premium being like civil servants and non-civil servant government employees, and (iii) contributory beneficiaries (PBI) specifically for underprivileged community where their monthly fee will be paid and governed by the government.

As time passes by, the health services in BPJS gets better and better. The same goes to JKN participants for PBI category as well. Therefore, to allow companies to make effective decisions, a forecasting is needed to figure out the number of participants on the

months to come. One of the forecasting methods is the fuzzy logic one, namely fuzzy time series.

Fuzzy time series (FTS) is a forecasting method introduced by Song and Chissom [1]. It is used to forecast problems with actual data formed in linguistic values. According to Prayogi [2], FTS has its own models such as Chen, Cheng, and Ruey Chyn Tsaur models, as well as several other models.

One study that used FTS Cheng model was conducted by Fathurokman [3] who evaluated Fuzzy Time Series Cheng and Ruey Chyn Tsaur methods and found that the MAPE values were 0.43% for Cheng method and 0.47% for Ruey Chyn Tsaur method. Furthermore, Rahmawati [4] applied the Sugeno Fuzzy Inference System (FIS) method to forecast the number of PBI participants in BPJS Kesehatan Pekanbaru City Branch, and the results showed that the Sugeno FIS model produced forecasts with an accuracy of 94.17%, which indicates the effectiveness of the model in predicting membership numbers with high precision. In another study [5], the researchers predicted the number of BPJS participants for the contributory beneficiaries (PBI) category using the Fuzzy Time Series Cheng method and found that the MAPE value was 0.97%, indicating that the prediction level was excellent.

Based on this, the author is interested in forecasting the number of JKN participants for contributory beneficiaries (PBI) category using FTS Cheng. This forecasting will transform the data into a binary form as per the definition of fuzzy through fuzzification stage. It is expected that the results of this research would be useful for consideration for BPJS Kesehatan to pass better policies.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Research Step

This research used literature review. The steps taken in this research were [6],[7], [8] and [9]:

- 1. Creating a data plot using Microsoft Excel software.
- 2. Defining the U universe using the following equation:

$$U = [D_{min} - D_1, D_{max} + D_2],$$
(1)

where, D_{min} : least data and D_{max} : biggest data.

3. Determining the interval length, namely

a. Determining the number of classes with equation

$$n = 1 + 3.322 \log(N) \tag{2}$$

b. Determining the range with equation

$$R = (D_{max} - D_2) - (D_{min} - D_1)$$
(3)

c. Determining the interval width with equation

$$I = \frac{range(R)}{class interval number (n)}$$
(4)

d. Finding the median value with equation

$$m_i = \frac{upper \ limit \ + \ lower \ limit}{2} \tag{5}$$

4. Defining fuzzification, namely

$$A = \frac{\mu Ai(u1)}{u1} + \frac{\mu Ai(u2)}{u2} + \dots + \frac{\mu Ai(un)}{un}$$
(6)

- 5. Determining the fuzzy logic relationship (FLR) and FLR group (FLRG).
- 6. Determining the weighting matrix in FLRG, namely

$$W_{ij} *= \frac{W_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{ij}} \tag{7}$$

7. Determining the defuzzification of forecasted value, namely

$$F_i = w_{i1} * (m_1) + w_{i2} * (m_2) + \dots + w_{in} * (m_n)$$
(8)

8. Testing the forecasting method accuracy using Mean Square Error (MSE) and Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) [10].

2.2 Data

The data used in this research were the ones on the number of BPJS Kesehatan participants in Banyumas for contributory beneficiaries (PBI) category from October 2022 to September 2023. These data were obtained from BPJS Kesehatan of Purwokerto. The data used can be seen in Table 1.

 Table 1. Data of number of BPJS Kesehatan participants for contributory beneficiaries' category

| Year | Number of BPJS Kesehatan Participants | Year | Number of BPJS Kesehatan Participants |
|---------------|---|---------------|---|
| October 2022 | 973,211 | December 2022 | 987,679 |
| November 2022 | 985,340 | January 2023 | 986,806 |

Jurnal Statistika Skewness, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp.23-33, 2025

25

| Year | Number of BPJS Kesehatan Participants | Year | Number of BPJS Kesehatan Participants |
|---------------|---|----------------|---|
| February 2023 | 985,679 | June 2023 | 1,015,132 |
| March 2023 | 990,873 | July 2023 | 1,022,858 |
| April 2023 | 1,003,482 | August 2023 | 1,027,729 |
| May 2023 | 1,009,196 | September 2023 | 1,037,111 |

| Table 2. (Continue) | Data of number | of BPJS | Kesehatan | participants | for contributory |
|---------------------|----------------|---------|-----------|--------------|------------------|
| beneficiaries' | category | | | | |

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Data Plot

Below is the time series data plot on the number of BPJS Kesehatan participants in Banyumas for PBI category in October 2022 to September 2023 using Microsoft Excel. Based on Figure 1, the time series plot of number of BPJS Kesehatan participants for PBI category in October 2022 to September 2023 constituted an increasing trend since the data plot showed a movement that tended to increase in a long run.



Figure 1. Time Series Plot of Number of BPJS Kesehatan Participants for PBI category

3.2 Formation of Universe Set

 $U = [[D_{min} - D_1]; [D_{max} + D_2]]$ = [[973,211 - 3,211]]; [1,037,111 - 12,889] = [970,000; 1,050,000]

3.3 Formation of Interval Length

a. Calculating the interval class

$$n = 1 + 3,322 \log (12) = 4,58504 \approx 4$$

b. Determining the range value

$$R = 1,050,000 - 970,000 = 80,000$$

c. Determining the interval size and width

$$I = \frac{80,000}{4} = 20,000$$

Table 3. Hasil Partisi Himpunan Universal

| Number of Class | Lower Limit | Upper Limit | Median |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| u_1 | 970,000 | 990,000 | 980,000 |
| u_2 | 990,000 | 1,010,000 | 1,000,000 |
| u_3 | 1,010,000 | 1,030,000 | 1,020,000 |
| u_4 | 1,030,000 | 1,050,000 | 1,040,000 |

3.4 Fuzzification Process

The fuzzification process is a process to change numeric data into linguistic ones [7],[8],[9],[10] and [11]. Assume $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$ is the fuzzy set of linguistic values of a linguistic variable. The number of A_n is as many as the obtained number of interval classes, namely 5 interval classes. Then, each class will be defined as fuzzy set A_i where $1 \le i \le$ 5. Hence, the following linguistic variable will be formed as:

$$A_{1} = \left\{ \frac{1}{u_{1}} + \frac{0,5}{u_{2}} + \frac{0}{u_{3}} + \frac{0}{u_{4}} \right\};$$

$$A_{2} = \left\{ \frac{0,5}{u_{1}} + \frac{1}{u_{2}} + \frac{0,5}{u_{3}} + \frac{0}{u_{4}} \right\};$$

$$A_{3} = \left\{ \frac{0}{u_{1}} + \frac{0,5}{u_{2}} + \frac{1}{u_{3}} + \frac{0,5}{u_{4}} \right\};$$

$$A_{4} = \left\{ \frac{0}{u_{1}} + \frac{0}{u_{2}} + \frac{0,5}{u_{3}} + \frac{1}{u_{4}} \right\}.$$

| Table 4. Fuzzification | | | | | |
|------------------------|--|---------------|-------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Year | Number of BPJS Kesehatan Participants | Fuzzification | Year | Number of BPJS Kesehatan Participants | Fuzzification |
| October 2022 | 973,211 | A_1 | April 2023 | 1,003,482 | A_2 |
| November 2022 | 985,340 | A_1 | May 2023 | 1,009,196 | A_2 |
| December 2022 | 987,679 | A_1 | June 2023 | 1,015,132 | A ₃ |
| January 2023 | 986,806 | A_1 | July 2023 | 1,022,858 | <i>A</i> ₃ |
| February 2023 | 985,679 | A_1 | August 2023 | 1,027,729 | A_3 |
| March 2023 | 990,879 | A_2 | September 2023 | 1,037,111 | A_4 |

Table 4. Fuzzification

3.5 Formation of Fuzzy Logic Relationship and FLR Group

Based on the definition of Cheng Logic, if $F(t - 1) = A_i$ and $F(t) = A_j$ the fuzzy logic relationship or FLR could be written as $A_i \rightarrow A_j$ [8] and [9]. Meanwhile, FLRG could be formed by combining the same fuzzy set values.

| Year | Number of BPJS Kesehatan Participants | Fuzzification | FLR |
|----------------|--|---------------|-----------------------|
| October 2022 | 973,211 | A_1 | - |
| November 2022 | 985,340 | A_1 | $A_1 \rightarrow A_1$ |
| December 2022 | 987,679 | A_1 | $A_1 \rightarrow A_1$ |
| January 2023 | 986,806 | A_1 | $A_1 \rightarrow A_1$ |
| February 2023 | 985,679 | A_1 | $A_1 \rightarrow A_1$ |
| March 2023 | 990,873 | A_2 | $A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ |
| April 2023 | 1,003,482 | A_2 | $A_2 \rightarrow A_2$ |
| May 2023 | 1,009,196 | A_2 | $A_2 \rightarrow A_2$ |
| June 2023 | 1,015,132 | A_3 | $A_2 \rightarrow A_3$ |
| July 2023 | 1,022,858 | A_3 | $A_3 \rightarrow A_3$ |
| August 2023 | 1,027,729 | A_3 | $A_3 \rightarrow A_3$ |
| September 2023 | 1,037,111 | A_4 | $A_3 \rightarrow A_4$ |

| Table 5. | Fuzzy | Logic | Relation | iship | Results |
|-----------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|---------|
| I upic c. | IGLLJ | LUGIU | iteration | iomp | results |

3.6 FLRG Weighting

The process of FLRG weighting was done by seeing the number of the same relations in FLRG as in the following table:

| Current state | e | Next Stage |
|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| A_1 | \rightarrow | $(4)A_1,(1)A_2$ |
| A_2 | \rightarrow | $(2)A_2,(1)A_3$ |
| A_3 | \rightarrow | $(2)A_3,(1)A_4$ |
| A_4 | \rightarrow | Ø |

For the fuzzy set $A_1 \rightarrow (4)A_1$, $(1)A_2$, it was found that there were four fuzzy relationships $A_1 \rightarrow A_1$, and there was only one fuzzy relationship $A_1 \rightarrow A_2$. Based on this FRLG, the weighting $w_{11} = 4$ from (A_1) and $w_{12} = 1$ from (A_2) were found. This formed the weighting matrix Wt = [$w_{11} \ w_{12}$] = [4 1].

| FLRG | A_1 | A_2 | A_3 | A_4 |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| A_1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A_2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| A_3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| A_4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | | |

 Table 6. Weighing Matrix

Furthermore, the FLRG weight was transferred into a standardized weighting matrix form (W*). For the fuzzy set $A_1 \rightarrow (4)A_1$, $(1)A_2$ that had the weighting $w_{11} = 4$ and $w_{12} = 1$ with matrix Wt = [1 2], then, based on the weighting of the weighting matrix formula was standardized into

$$W_{ij} *= \frac{W_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{ij}}$$
$$W_{11} *= 4 / 5 = 0.8$$
$$W_{12} *= 1/5 = 0.2$$

Based on this calculation, a standardized weighting matrix $W_{ij} * = [w_{11} * w_{12} *] = [0,8,0,2]$ was obtained. These steps were applicable henceforth for other fuzzy sets. Below is the result of the standardized weighting matrix.

30

| Table 7. | Standardized Weighing Matrix | | | | |
|----------|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| FLRG | A_1 | A_2 | A_3 | A_4 | |
| A_1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | |
| A_2 | 0 | 0.66 | 0.33 | 0 | |
| A_3 | 0 | 0 | 0.66 | 0.33 | |
| A_4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Table 7. Standardized Weighing Matrix

3.7 Defuzzification Process

In Cheng method, the process of calculating defuzzification would use a standardized weighting matrix (W*) and a median value at interval or midpoint (m_i) for ever relations in fuzzy $A_i = A_{1,A_2, \ldots, A_n}$. For the result of defuzzification of forecasting value in fuzzy A_1 , i.e., calculating the defuzzification, the forecasting F_1 value was as follows:

$$F_1 = w_{11} * (m_1) + w_{12} * (m_2)$$

= 0.8 * (980,000) + 0.2 * (1,000,000)
= 984,000

The steps above were applicable for every relation of other fuzzy sets. Below is the result of defuzzification process.

| Current state | | Next Stage | Forecasting |
|---------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|
| A_1 | \rightarrow | $(4)A_1,(1)A_2$ | 984,000 |
| A_2 | \rightarrow | $(2)A_2,(1)A_3$ | 1,000,000 |
| A_3 | \rightarrow | $(2)A_3, (1)A_4$ | 1,020,000 |
| A_4 | \rightarrow | Ø | 1,040,000 |

Table 8. Defuzzification Results

| Tabel 9. | Cheng l | Method | Forecasting | Results |
|----------|---------|--------|-------------|---------|
|----------|---------|--------|-------------|---------|

| Year | Number of BPJS Kesehatan participants | Fuzzification | Forecasting |
|---------------|--|-----------------------|-------------|
| October 2022 | 973,211 | A_1 | 984,000 |
| November 2022 | 985,340 | A_1 | 984,000 |
| December 2022 | 987,679 | A_1 | 984,000 |
| January 2023 | 986,806 | A_1 | 984,000 |
| February 2023 | 985,679 | <i>A</i> ₁ | 984,000 |

| Year | Number of BPJS Kesehatan participants | Fuzzification | Forecasting |
|----------------|--|---------------|-------------|
| March 2023 | 990,873 | A_2 | 996,600 |
| April 2023 | 1,003,482 | A_2 | 996,600 |
| May 2023 | 1,009,196 | A_2 | 996,600 |
| June 2023 | 1,015,132 | A_3 | 1,016,400 |
| July 2023 | 1,022,858 | A_3 | 1,016,400 |
| August 2023 | 1,027,729 | A_3 | 1,016,400 |
| September 2023 | 1,037,111 | A_4 | 1,040,000 |

Tabel 9. (Continue) Cheng Method Forecasting Results





3.8 Fuzzy Time Series Cheng Forecasting

The forecasting of the next period was determined by seeing the formed relations in the previous period, in this case in September 2023 at a forecasting value of 1,040,000. Based on the table, the formed FLR was $A_3 \rightarrow A_4$ hence for the October 2023 period, the forecasting value was used in group A_4 . Based on table 5, A_4 had no FLR, or $A_4 \rightarrow \emptyset$, hence the predicted F_4 value was the median value of u_4 at 1,040,000.

3.9 Testing the Methods Accuracy

The final forecasted data would be tested for its precision and accuracy levels by calculating the Mean Squared Error (MSE) and Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) values [5].

1. Mean Square Error (MSE)

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} (Xt - \widehat{Xt})^{2}$$
$$= \frac{40,113,541}{12} = 3,342$$

2. Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE)

$$MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left| \frac{Xt - \widehat{Xt}}{xt} \right| x \ 100 \ \%$$
$$= \frac{0.5057}{12} \ x \ 100 \ \% = 0.04 \ \%$$

This research found that the MSE value was 3.342 and the MAPE value was under 10% at 0.04 %. This means that this forecasting had a high accuracy.

4 Conclusion

Based on the result and discussion, it could be concluded that, the time series plot in the number of JKN participants for PBI category in Banyumas had an increasing trend data pattern, since the chart showed a data movement that tended to increase in a long run. The forecasting result obtained using the fuzzy time series method for October 2023 was 1,040,000 participants. In terms of accuracy level, the MSE value was obtained at 3.342 and the MAPE value under 10 % at 0.04%. Therefore, it could be concluded that the forecasting of number of JKN participants for PBI category in October 2023 had a high accuracy.

References

- Song, Q. & Chissom, B., S. (1994). Forecasting enrollments with fuzzy time series part II. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 62(1):1–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(94)90067-1</u>
- [2] Prayogi, A. R. (2018). Demand forecasting penggunaan energi listrik (KWH) menggunakan Fuzzy Time Series Cheng (Skripsi Sarjana, Universitas Islam

Indonesia).UniversitasIslamIndonesiaRepository.https://dspace.uii.ac.id/handle/123456789/7907

- [3] Fauzi, F. D. A. (2021). Evaluasi metode fuzzy time series Cheng dan Ruey Chyn Tsaur. *Variance*, *3*(2), 61–72.
- [4] Rahmawati, R., Rahma, A. N., Suryani, I., & Sari, Y. (2020). Penerapan logika fuzzy dalam menentukan jumlah peserta BPJS Kesehatan menggunakan fuzzy inference system Sugeno. *Lebesgue: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika, Matematika dan Statistika*, 1(3), 182–192. https://doi.org/10.46306/lb.v1i3.38
- [5] Rahmawati, S. (2021). Prediksi jumlah peserta BPJS penerima bantuan iuran (PBI) APBN menggunakan metode fuzzy time series Cheng. *Barakeng*, *15*(2), 373–384.
- [6] Al-Kadry, I. R., Massalesse, J., & Nur, M. (2022). Forecasting inflation in Indonesia using the modified fuzzy time series Cheng [Peramalan inflasi di Indonesia dengan metode fuzzy time series Cheng yang dimodifikasi]. *Jurnal Matematika Statistika dan Komputasi*, 19(1), 210–222. <u>https://doi.org/10.20956/j.v19i1.21868</u>
- [7] Kusumadewi, S., & Purnomo, H. (2004). *Aplikasi logika fuzzy untuk pendukung keputusan*. Graha Ilmu.
- [8] Fauziah, N., Wahyuningsih, S., & Nasution, Y. N. (2016). Peramalan menggunakan fuzzy time series Chen (Studi kasus: Curah hujan Kota Samarinda). *Statistika: Jurnal Theoria dan Aplikasi Statistika*, 4(2), 52–61. <u>https://doi.org/10.26714/JSUNIMUS.4.2.2016</u>
- [9] Perwira, R., Yudhiantoro, D., & Wahyurini, E. (2020). Fuzzy time series model Cheng untuk meramalkan volume hasil panen pada tanaman garut. *Telematika*, 17(1), 11–20. <u>https://doi.org/10.31315/telematika.v17i1.3400</u>
- [10] Asrirawan, A., Permata, S., & Fauzan, M. (2022). Pendekatan univariate time series modelling untuk prediksi kuartalan pertumbuhan ekonomi Indonesia pasca vaksinasi COVID-19. *Jambura Journal of Mathematics*, 4(1), 86–103. <u>https://doi.org/10.34312/jjom.v4i1.11717</u>
- [11] Cheng, C.-H., Chen, T.-L., Teoh, H. J., & Chiang, C.-H. (2008). Fuzzy time-series based on adaptive expectation model for TAIEX forecasting. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 34(2), 1126–1132. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2006.12.021</u>