MOLEKUL eISSN: 2503-0310

Articles https://doi.org/10.20884/1.jm.2024.19.1.11224

Approximate Solution to the Schrödinger Equation of Exotic Doubly Muonic Helium-like Systems Using Hydrogenic-based Matrix Mechanics

Redi Kristian Pingak¹*, Johanes Eliaser Jacob¹, Bernandus¹, Albert Zicko Johannes¹, Ely Setiawan²

¹Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences and Engineering, Universitas Nusa Cendana, Indonesia ²Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Purwokerto 53123, Indonesia

*Corresponding author email: rpingak@staf.undana.ac.id

Received August 06, 2022; Accepted February 02, 2024; Available online March 20, 2024

ABSTRACT. The approximate solution to the Schrödinger equation of exotic doubly muonic helium-like systems has been obtained using a simple matrix method based on hydrogenic s-states. Each system considered consists of a positively charged nucleus surrounded by 2 negatively charged muons $X^{Z+}_{\mu,\mu}$ ($2 \le Z \le 36$). The present work aims to obtain approximate ground-state energies of the systems and to decompose the energies in terms of the basis states used. Here, the wave function was expressed as a linear combination of 15 eigenfunctions, each written as the product of two hydrogenic s-states. The elements of the Hamiltonian matrix were calculated and finally, the energy eigenvalue equation was numerically solved to obtain the ground-state energies of the systems with their corresponding eigenvectors. From the results, ground-state energies of all systems were in agreement with others from the literature, with percentage differences between 0.03% and 2.05%. The analysis of the probability amplitudes from the eigenvectors showed that the 1s1s state made the largest contribution to the ground state energies of the systems, approaching 90.99%, 96.98% and 98.54% for He²⁺ $\mu_{\mu} - Li^{3+} \mu_{\mu}$, and Be⁴⁺ μ_{μ} , respectively.

Keywords: Doubly muonic helium-like systems, ground state energy, hydrogenic basis states, matrix mechanics, Schrödinger equation

INTRODUCTION

A large number of theoretical and computational studies in chemistry has been applied to study various quantum systems ranging from atoms to materials. Some of such studies included the application of QCMP 116 program in studying interactions of NH₃ molecule on the surface of chromium (Banon, 2010), Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationships (QSAR) analysis to obtain a design of calanone derivatives (Iswanto et al., 2011), Quantitative Structure-Property Relationships (QSPR) models (Delsy et al., 2017; Iswanto et al., 2019; Setiawan et al., 2020), and sparkle RM1 method to perform a theoretical study on the electronic structure and spectrum of $[Ln(pytpy)(NO_3)_3]$ complex (Ln=Eu, Tb, pytpy=4'-(2pyrrolyl)-2,2':6',2"-terpyridine) (Setiawan & Zulys, 2015). In addition, several recent studies using Density Functional Theory (DFT) were performed for various systems such as Cathinone imprinted polymer (Saputra et al., 2017), surfaces of pure and Scincorporated Mg(0001) (Sutapa et al., 2010), nitrogen-doped diamond (Sholihun et al., 2018), and leuco-indigo and indigo (Maahury et al., 2020).

Among systems studied, quantum three-body systems play a crucial role in theoretical quantum chemistry and physics as approximations proposed to solve the Schrödinger equation for these systems can be tested before being applied in studying more complex quantum systems. There has been a large number of theoretical investigations conducted for such systems. Some of the recent studies include the energy determination of the Helium atom using the shooting method (Hall & Siegel, 2015), Hartree-Fock method (Young et al., 2016), Laguerre-based functions (Kartono et al., 2005; Livertz & Barnea, 2012; Livertz & Barnea, 2014; King et al., 2018; Baskerville & Cox, 2019), variational method (Purwaningsih et al., 2019) and Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory (Montgomery et al., 2010). Similar studies have also been carried out such as those for helium-like ions (Mccarthy & Thakkar, 2011; Montgomery & Pupyshev, 2014; Montgomery et al., 2019) and positively charged molecular hydrogen (Pingak & Johannes, 2020).

In addition to helium atom and he-like ions, exotic muonic helium-like systems have been the focus of recent research. Muonic atoms or ions, which are formed by replacing one (or more) orbital electron(s) with one (or more) negatively charged muon(s), are the most studied exotic few-body Coulomb systems

(Khan, 2014). They have attracted researchers in both theoretical chemistry and physics because their physical and chemical properties have not been fully understood. More in-depth and comprehensive studies on these systems using various theoretical approaches are expected to enrich the understanding of the properties of these systems from the fundamental levels to the application levels (Putlitz, 1992). Furthermore, exotic three-body systems have become more significant in the field of physics and atomic including spectroscopy chemistry and quantum electrodynamics (Khan, 2014). Such systems include the muonic helium $He_{\mu^-e^-}$ which has been intensively investigated such as in (Bailey & Frolov, 2002; Eskandari & Rezaie, 2005; Frolov & Wardlaw, 2012; Frolov, 2012; Khan, 2016; Karshenboim et al., 2018; Aznabayev et al., 2018).

In contrast to the muonic helium $He_{\mu^-e^-}$ systems which are intensively studied and reported in the literature, only a few reports were found in the literature on exotic doubly muonic helium-like systems of the form $X^{Z+}_{\mu\mu}$, i.e. a core which is surrounded by two negatively charged valence muons. Theoretical and computational studies on the systems using different methods have been reported, including the use of boundary condition on the wavefunction (Eskandari & Rezaie, 2004), the application of an angular correlated configuration interaction method (Ancarani et al., 2010; Ancarani et al., 2011), hyperspherical harmonics (HH) expansion method involving the Raynal-Revai Coefficients (RRC) (Khan, 2012; Khan, 2014). Recently, the ground-state energy of the $He_{\mu-\mu}$ - was also calculated using the variational approach as presented in (Boimau et al., 2017). The application of other methods in studying these systems is therefore important to gain a much better understanding of the properties of these systems.

An alternative numerical method to solve the Schrödinger equation for two-electron systems was presented by Massé (Massé & Walker, 2015), who expanded the wavefunction of the helium atom in terms of hydrogenic basis states. Despite being simple and straightforward, the method yielded accurate low-lying state energies of the helium atom. The analytical solution to the Schrödinger equation using this method was also presented in (Pingak et al., 2019) and was proven accurate in obtaining energies of 1s², 1s2s triplet and 1s2s singlet states. Besides, the extension of the method to determine the low-lying energies of helium-like ions was already performed in (Pingak & Deta, 2020; Pingak et al., 2021). The method, however, has not been applied to exotic three-body systems including the doubly exotic muonic helium-like systems $X^{Z+}_{\mu\mu}$ which should have similar properties to the helium atom and helium-like ions.

The present study focuses on exotic doubly muonic helium-like systems $X^{Z+\mu_{\mu}\mu_{\mu}}$ (2 \leq Z \leq 36), which

consisted of a positively charged +Ze core surrounded by two negatively charged valence muons. This work aims at obtaining an approximate solution to the Schrödinger equation for these systems using the matrix method based on hydrogenic s-states. From the solution, the ground state energies of the systems are determined with their corresponding energy eigenvectors. The spectral decomposition of the energies is also presented to investigate the composition of each hydrogenic basis state used to obtain the ground state energies of the systems. The comparisons to results from other calculations in the literature are also presented and discussed. The results of the present study will make a significant contribution to the field of doubly muonic systems as the majority of the systems studied here have not been investigated in previous studies both theoretically and experimentally. Moreover, this study demonstrates that with this method, reasonably accurate energies of quantum systems can be obtained, consistent with some recent studies using the matrix method on different systems, including anharmonic oscillators (Pingak et al., 2021) and the Morse oscillator (Pingak et al., 2023).

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION Computational Details Wave Function Expansion

The time-independent Schrödinger solved in this work is shown in equation (1).

$$\widehat{H}\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\Psi(\mathbf{r}) \tag{1}$$

Equation (1) is an energy eigenvalue equation whose solutions are energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of quantum systems considered, which in this research is the doubly muonic helium-like systems $X^{Z+}_{\mu,\mu}$. Here, \hat{H} is the Hamiltonian operator, *E* is the energy eigenvalue and $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ is the wave function.

One of the quantum mechanics postulates is that the wavefunction $\Psi(\mathbf{r})$ can be written as a linear combination of eigenfunctions $\psi_k(\mathbf{r})$ (Bransden & Joachain, 2000), shown in equation (2), where c_k is the probability amplitudes.

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \psi_k(\mathbf{r})$$
(2)

To solve the Schrödinger equation using the matrix mechanics, the wave function has to be of finite dimension, *N*. As a result, equation (2) could be written:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k \psi_k(\mathbf{r})$$
(3)

Furthermore, in the matrix method applied by Massé (Massé & Walker, 2015), eigenfunctions $\psi_k(\mathbf{r})$ were formed by taking the product of two wave functions of hydrogenic ions. Within this approach, the correlation function was neglected in the wave function. Therefore, equation (3) can be written in

the form presented in equation (4), where $\phi_{k_{n,l,m_l}}(\mathbf{r}_1)$ and $\phi_{k_{n,l,m_l}}(\mathbf{r}_2)$ represent hydrogenic wave function for muon 1 dan muon 2 in a particular $|n, l, m_l\rangle$ state, respectively.

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k \phi_{k_{n,l,m_l}}(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_{k_{n,l,m_l}}(\mathbf{r}_2) \qquad (4)$$

In this research, 15 unsymmetrized hydrogenic basis s-states are used which took the form of equation (5), adopted from (Pingak & Deta, 2020).

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n=2}^{8} c_{11} \phi_{100}(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_{100}(\mathbf{r}_2) + c_{1n} \phi_{100}(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_{n00}(\mathbf{r}_2) + c_{n1} \phi_{n00}(\mathbf{r}_1) \phi_{100}(\mathbf{r}_2)$$
(5)

The projected Schrödinger equation and its approximate solution

Expressing the wavefunction in the form shown in equation (5) means that the Hamiltonian operator in equation (1) is in the matrix form of size 15x15. Therefore, equation (1) became the projected Schrödinger equation as presented in equation (6).

$$\begin{bmatrix} H_{1,1} & \cdots & H_{1,15} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ H_{15,1} & \cdots & H_{15,15} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{1,1} \\ \vdots \\ c_{15,1} \end{pmatrix} = E \begin{pmatrix} c_{1,1} \\ \vdots \\ c_{15,1} \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

To obtain the ground state energy of a quantum system, equation (6) was solved by first calculating the Hamiltonian matrix elements using equation (7).

$$H_{i,j} = \iint \phi_i(\boldsymbol{r}_1) \phi_i(\boldsymbol{r}_2) \,\widehat{H} \phi_j(\boldsymbol{r}_1) \phi_j(\boldsymbol{r}_2) d\boldsymbol{r}_1 d\boldsymbol{r}_2 \qquad (7)$$

Where the non-relativistic Hamiltonian operator \hat{H} is calculated using equation (8), where Hartree muon atomic units (*m.a.u*) are used in which the muon mass $m_{\mu}=1$,

$$\widehat{H} = -\frac{\nabla_1^2}{2} - \frac{\nabla_2^2}{2} - \frac{Z_1}{r_1} - \frac{Z_2}{r_2} + \frac{1}{r_{12}}$$
(8)

And the hydrogenic wave function was the product of the radial function R(r) and the angular function $Y(\theta,\varphi)$ as follows

$$\phi_i(\boldsymbol{r}_1) = R_{nl}(r)Y_l^m(\theta,\varphi) \tag{9}$$

where $R_{nl}(r)$ can be written in terms of associated Laguerre polynomial as shown in equation (10) and $Y_l^m(\theta, \varphi)$ is the spherical harmonics.

$$R_{nl}(r) = \left(\frac{Z(n-l-1)!}{a_{\mu}(n+l)! n^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{2Z}{na_{\mu}}\right) \left(\frac{2Zr}{na_{\mu}}\right)^{l} e^{-\frac{Zr}{na_{\mu}}} L_{n-l-1}^{2l+1} \\ \left(\frac{2Zr}{na_{\mu}}\right)$$
(10)

It is important to notice that a_{μ} in equation (10) is the modified Bohr radius due to the difference between the muon mass and the electron mass. The radial function as shown in equation (10) could be further written in muon atomic unit (*m.a.u*) using only sorbitals (*I=0*) as follows:

$$R_{n0}(r) = \left(\frac{Z(n-1)!}{n!n^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{2Z}{n}\right) e^{-\frac{Zr}{n}} L_{n-1}^1\left(\frac{2Zr}{n}\right)$$
(11)

After Hamiltonian matrix elements were obtained using equation (7), they were then substituted into equation (6) which was then numerically solved using a Mathematica code modified from (Massé & Walker, 2015). The solution of the equation includes the energy eigenvalues with their corresponding eigenvectors.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ground State Energies of $X^{Z+}_{\mu\mu}$ (2 \leq Z \leq 36)

The lowest energy eigenvalues resulted from solving equation (6) numerically for $X^{Z+}_{\mu,\mu}$ systems with $2 \le Z \le 36$ represent their ground state energies. Results are shown in **Table 1**, where comparisons with previous calculations using the hyperspherical harmonics method (Khan, 2014) are also presented wherever available. The percentage differences are also presented in **Table 1**.

It is evident from **Table 1** that the ground state energies 1 ¹S obtained using the matrix method in this work are in very good agreement with those reported in Khan (2014). Percentage differences in energies ranged from 0.095 % for $Si^{14+}_{\mu^{+}\mu^{-}}$ to 2.05% for $He^{2+}_{\mu^{-}\mu^{-}}$. This shows that even though the approximation applied in this study was simple, results were reasonably accurate. A more obvious comparison of our results with those presented in (Khan, 2014) is shown in **Figure 1**.

In addition to the results of Khan (2014), following discussions present the comparisons of our results with other results in the literature using different approaches. Before his recent work in (Khan, 2014), Khan had actually calculated the ground state energies of some of exotic doubly muonic systems in (Khan, 2012), where the ground state energies of $He^{2+\mu\mu}$, $Li^{3+\mu\mu}$, $Be^{4+\mu\mu}$, $B^{5+\mu\mu}$, $C^{6+}_{\mu\mu}$, $O^{8+}_{\mu\mu}$, $Ne^{10+}_{\mu\mu}$, $Si^{14+}_{\mu\mu}$, and $Ar^{18+}_{\mu\mu}$ were -2.8990 m.a.u, -7.2714 m.a.u, -13.6418 m.a.u, -22.0106 m.a.u, -32.3781 m.a.u, -59.1104 m.a.u, -93.8422 m.a.u, -187.3400 m.a.u and -312.1292 m.a.u, respectively. % differences of these results with our results are very small (below 1 %), i.e. 0.067%, 0.069%, 0.066%, 0.061%, 0.055%, 0.046%, 0.029%, 0.028% and 0.682%, for the respective systems. Additionally, results in this study also in agreement with those reported by are others. For instance, ground-state energies reported in (Ancarani et al., 2010; Ancarani et al., 2011) for $He^{2+\mu^{-}\mu^{-}}$ and $Li^{3+\mu^{-}\mu^{-}}$ were -2.90107 m.a.u and -7.27660 m.a.u for the respective systems, which are also in agreement with our results with percentage differences of 1.965% and 0.989% respectively. Moreover, excellent agreement with our results was also found in the ground state energy of $He^{2+}_{\mu}\mu^{-}$ reported in (Boimau et al., 2017) where the energies were -2.8476 m.a.u (percentage difference of 0.124%). Finally, Eskandari (Eskandari & Rezaie, 2004) also calculated the ground state energy of $He^{2+\mu\mu}$ a system using three different correlation functions, the last of which yielded energy of -2.8206 m.a.u (percentage difference of 0.832%). The agreement with other reports in the literature as discussed above shows that despite being simple, hydrogenic wave functions used in this work yielded reasonably accurate ground-state energies of the exotic doubly muonic helium systems $X^{Z+}_{\mu^{-}\mu^{-}}$. One of the factors leading to the difference between our results and previous study by Eskandari (Eskandari & Rezaie, 2004) is that in the present work, the correlation function in the wave function is neglected. The absence of the correlation function means that some important short-range and long-

range correlation effects are not included in the calculation and therefore it will affect the accuracy of the calculation. Recently, other simple methods neglecting the correlation function were also developed including the semi-classical approximation (Pingak et al., 2021; Pingak et al., 2021), where it was reported that the accuracy in the energy of diatomic molecules are affected by the absence of the correlation function. Hence, in order to improve the accuracy, correlation function should be taken into account in the calculation.

Table 1. Ground state energy 1 ¹S of exotic doubly muonic helium-like system $X^{Z+}_{\mu\mu}$ (2 \leq Z \leq 36). All are presented in muon atomic unit (*m.a.u*).

| Ζ | Atom/ | This work | Khan (2014) | Percentage Difference |
|----|--|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| | ion | (A) | (B) | (A-B *100/ B) |
| 2 | $He^{2+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | -2.84406 | -2.90358 | 2.04988 |
| 3 | Li ³⁺ _ ⁻ _µ - | -7.20461 | -7.28028 | 1.03938 |
| 4 | $Be^{4+\mu^{-}\mu^{-}}$ | -13.57320 | -13.65664 | 0.61098 |
| 5 | $B^{5+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | -21.94470 | -22.03227 | 0.39746 |
| 6 | $C^{6+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | -32.31750 | -32.40836 | 0.28036 |
| 7 | $N^{7+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | -44.69100 | | |
| 8 | O ⁸⁺ µ ⁻ µ ⁻ | -59.06490 | -59.16209 | 0.16428 |
| 9 | F ⁹⁺ µ ⁻ µ ⁻ | -75.43910 | | |
| 10 | $Ne^{10+\mu^{-}\mu^{-}}$ | -93.81340 | -93.92118 | 0.11476 |
| 11 | Να ¹¹⁺ μ ⁻ μ ⁻ | -114.18800 | | |
| 12 | $Mg^{12+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | -136.56200 | | |
| 13 | Al ¹³⁺ ⁻ ⁻ ⁻ | -160.93700 | | |
| 14 | Si ¹⁴⁺ µ ⁻ µ ⁻ | -187.31200 | -187.49066 | 0.09529 |
| 15 | Ρ ¹⁵⁺ μ ⁻ μ ⁻ | -215.68600 | | |
| 16 | S ¹⁶⁺ µ ⁻ µ ⁻ | -246.06100 | | |
| 17 | Cl ¹⁷⁺ ^{µ-} ^{µ-} | -278.43600 | | |
| 18 | $Ar^{18+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | -312.81100 | -313.2629 | 0.14426 |
| 19 | K ¹⁹⁺ µ ⁻ µ ⁻ | -349.18600 | | |
| 20 | Ca ²⁰⁺ µ ⁻ µ ⁻ | -387.56100 | | |
| 21 | $Sc^{21+\mu^{-}\mu^{-}}$ | -427.93500 | | |
| 22 | $Ti^{22+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | -470.31000 | | |
| 23 | $V^{23+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | -514.68500 | | |
| 24 | $Cr^{24+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | -561.06000 | | |
| 25 | $Mn^{25+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | -609.43500 | | |
| 26 | $Fe^{26+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | -659.81000 | | |
| 27 | Co ²⁷⁺ µ ⁻ µ ⁻ | -712.18500 | | |
| 28 | Ni ²⁸⁺ µ ⁻ µ ⁻ | -766.56000 | | |
| 29 | Cu ²⁹⁺ µ ⁻ µ ⁻ | -822.93500 | | |
| 30 | $Zn^{30+\mu^{-}\mu^{-}}$ | -881.31000 | | |
| 31 | Ga ³¹⁺ µ ⁻ µ ⁻ | -941.68500 | | |
| 32 | $Ge^{32+\mu^{-}\mu^{-}}$ | -1004.06000 | -1014.84508 | 1.06273 |
| 33 | $As^{33+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | -1068.43000 | | |
| 34 | $Se^{34+\mu^{-}\mu^{-}}$ | -1134.81000 | | |
| 35 | $Br^{35+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | -1203.18000 | | |
| 36 | $Kr^{36+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | -1273.56000 | | |



Figure 1. Ground state energies of exotic doubly helium like-ions $X^{Z+}_{\mu \mu}$ are plotted against Z. Corresponding energies presented in (Khan, 2014) are also shown.

It can be seen from **Figure 1** that the ground state energies reported in (Khan, 2014) agree well with our results across all nuclear charge Z considered in this study. Furthermore, Khan (2014) only calculated the ground state energies for some selected ions and therefore there are some gaps in the figure for some ions. The gaps were filled very well by our results, as can be seen by the smooth curve connecting the energies of the ions for the whole range of Z considered. This also indicates that energies resulted from our calculations are accurate for all systems considered in this study.

With the absence of experimental ground state energies for these systems, an agreement between results of a new theoretical or computational study with older ones using different methods can be used to investigate whether a new proposed theoretical approach is 'accurate' or not. As discussed above, ground state energies available in the literature for the exotic doubly muonic helium-like ions calculated using other methods have been proven to be in very good agreement with our results in the present study, with percentage differences below 2 %. This clearly indicates that projecting the Schrödinger equation to a finite dimension space, in which the wave function was expressed as a finite linear combination of product of hydrogenic states and Hamiltonian is in matrix form, and solving it using matrix mechanics is a reasonably accurate method which can be further extended to study other three-body quantum systems.

There are a number of methods that can be used to further study these systems including the Monte Carlo (Metropolis & Ulam, 1949) and the Density Matrix Renormalization Group (DMRG) (White, 1992). The two methods have been successfully applied to calculate the energy of the helium atom.

For instance, Morcillo-Arencibia and co-workers (Morcillo-Arencibia et al., 2023) recently used the configuration interaction and the diffusion Monte Carlo to solve the Schrödinger equation of the helium atom. Furthermore, Snajberk (2017) applied the Density Matrix Renormalization Group to calculate energies of some quantum systems including the ground state energy of the helium atom. One of the advantages of using the proposed method in this manuscript is that it does not require any variational parameter and therefore it is more effective computationally. In addition, there is no need to include the momentum space truncation in the proposed method in contrast to the Density Matrix Renormalization Group which uses the momentum space expansion to reduce the computational cost. Nevertheless, with the absence of experimental data of these systems, theoretical studies are of great importance and therefore the use of different theoretical methods to investigate these systems is highly recommended. As another example, Dar and co-workers (Dar et al., 2021) recently applied the time-dependent density functional theory to accurately study the helium atom.

Spectral Decomposition of the Ground State Energies

In addition to ground state energies obtained from solving the time-independent Schrödinger, the eigenvectors corresponding to the energies were also investigated in the present work. This was performed to analyse the contribution of each basis state on the ground state energies of the systems, the probability amplitudes c_i of the states with their square values c_i^2 are calculated and presented in **Table 2**. The probabilities are only shown for three systems namely $He^{2+\mu_{\mu}\mu^{-}}$, $Li^{3+}_{\mu,\mu^{-}}$, and $Be^{4+}_{\mu,\mu^{-}}$, since the patterns are the same for other systems.

| State Label | State — | He ² | $He^{2+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ | | Li ³⁺ µ ⁻ µ ⁻ | | Be ⁴⁺ µ ⁻ µ ⁻ | |
|----------------|---------|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------|--|--------|--|--|
| | | Ci | c _i ² (%) | Ci | ci ² (%) | ci | ci ² (%) | |
| 1 | lsls | -0.954 | 90.990 | 0.985 | 96.979 | 0.993 | 98.543 | |
| 2 | 1s2s | 0.194 | 3.766 | -0.110 | 1.217 | -0.076 | 0.579 | |
| 3 | 2s1s | 0.194 | 3.766 | -0.110 | 1.217 | -0.076 | 0.579 | |
| 4 | 1s3s | 0.066 | 0.439 | -0.042 | 0.173 | -0.030 | 0.088 | |
| 5 | 3s1s | 0.066 | 0.439 | -0.042 | 0.173 | -0.030 | 0.088 | |
| 6 | 1s4s | 0.039 | 0.149 | -0.024 | 0.060 | -0.017 | 0.031 | |
| 7 | 4sls | 0.039 | 0.149 | -0.024 | 0.060 | -0.017 | 0.031 | |
| 8 | 1s5s | 0.027 | 0.070 | -0.017 | 0.028 | -0.012 | 0.014 | |
| 9 | 5sls | 0.027 | 0.070 | -0.017 | 0.028 | -0.012 | 0.014 | |
| 10 | 1s6s | 0.020 | 0.040 | -0.013 | 0.016 | -0.009 | 0.008 | |
| 11 | 6sls | 0.020 | 0.040 | -0.013 | 0.016 | -0.009 | 0.008 | |
| 12 | ls7s | 0.016 | 0.025 | -0.010 | 0.010 | -0.007 | 0.005 | |
| 13 | 7sls | 0.016 | 0.025 | -0.010 | 0.010 | -0.007 | 0.005 | |
| 14 | 1s8s | 0.013 | 0.017 | -0.008 | 0.006 | -0.006 | 0.003 | |
| 15 | 8s1s | 0.013 | 0.017 | -0.008 | 0.006 | -0.006 | 0.003 | |

Table 2 Probability amplitudes of the states used in the wave function expansion for $He^{2+}_{\mu}\mu^{-}$, $Li^{3+}_{\mu}\mu^{-}$ and $Be^{4+}_{\mu}\mu^{-}$.



Figure 2. Probabilities of basis states used in obtaining ground state energies of $X^{Z_{+}}_{\mu,\mu}$ (with X=He, Li and Be) are plotted against state labels defined in **Table 2**.

Table 2 clearly indicates that the 1s1s state makes the largest contribution to the ground state energy of $He^{2+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ atom (about 91%), while the contribution from higher states is significantly lower with a minimum of about 0.017% for 1s8s state. The results were the same as those presented in (Hutchinson, Baker, & Marsiglio, 2013) who obtained around 91% contribution to the ground state of the helium atom arising from the 1s1s state. This indicates that around 9% contribution should come from higher bound states as well as the unbound states.

It can also be seen from **Table 2** that the state with the largest proportion in the ground state of $\text{Li}^{3+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ and $\text{Be}^{4+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ systems were also the 1s1s state but with larger proportion compared to its proportion in the ground state energy of $\text{He}^{2+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$. The

compositions of the 1s1s state in the ground state energy of the $Li^{3+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ and $Be^{4+}\mu^{-}\mu^{-}$ were about 96.98% and 98.54%, respectively. When one muon is in higher quantum states (1sns or ns1s where n>1), the contribution to the ground state energy decreases significantly reaching 0.006% and 0.003% for the respective systems.

In addition to the above observations found in **Table 2**, it is also clear that the probabilities of 1sns states were the same as those of ns1s states. This indicates that a state in which the first muon is in the $|1,0,0\rangle$ state and the second one in the $|n,0,0\rangle$ state is equivalent to a state in which the first muon is in the $|1,0,0\rangle$ state. A more obvious visualisation of the properties of the states based on data in **Table 2** is shown in **Figure 2**.

It is clear from **Figure 2** that the higher the states used (larger state labels), the less the contribution they made to the ground state energy of the systems. This is true for all systems especially for X=He, Li and Be as shown in **Figure 2**. It can also be seen that the larger the nuclear charge, the higher the contribution made by the 1s1s state (a state with label 1) to the ground state energy. This can be seen in **Figure 2** for state label 1 (1s1s state), where the highest proportion of this state on the ground state energies is for the X=Be (Z=4), followed by X=Li (Z=3) and finally X=He (Z=2). These observations indicate that including higher bound states and unbound states would not significantly improve the accuracy of the calculations using this method.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the Schrödinger equation of exotic doubly muonic helium-like systems of the form $X^{Z+}_{\mu \mu}$ has been solved with the matrix method using 15 unsymmetrized hydrogenic basis s-states. Accurate ground state energies of the systems with nuclear charge $2 \le Z \le 36$ were obtained from the solution to the Schrödinger equation. It was found that the ground state energies were in very good agreement with those reported in the literature using other methods. The analysis of the probability amplitudes from the eigenvectors of the ground state energies was also performed. The analysis indicated that the 1s1s state had the highest proportion among all states used in the wave function expansion.

REFERENCES

- Ancarani, L. U., Rodriguez, K. V., & Gasaneo, G. (2010). Ground and excited states for exotic three-body atomic systems. *EPJ Web of Conferences 3*, 02009.
- Ancarani, L. U., Rodriguez, K. V., & Gasaneo, G. (2011). Correlated n ^{1,3}S States for Coulomb Three-body Systems. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry* 111(15), 4255-4265.
- Aznabayev, D. T., Bekbaev, A. K., & Korobov, V. I. (2018). The Hyperfine Structure of the Ground State in the Muonic Helium Atoms. *Physics of Particles and Nuclei Letters* 15, 236-239.
- Bailey, D. H., & Frolov, A. M. (2002). Universal Variational Expansion for high precision Bound state Calculations in three-body systems. Applications to weakly bound, adiabatic and two-shell cluster systems. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics 35(20)*, 4287.
- Banon, C. (2010). Adsorption of an NH₃ molecule on Cr(111) Surface by QCMP 116 program. *Indonesian Journal of Chemistry 10(1),* 102-105.
- Baskerville, A. L., King, A. W., & Cox, H. (2019). Electron Correlation in Li⁺, He, H⁻ and the critical nuclear charge system ZC: energies,

densities and Coulumb holes. *Royal Society Open Science 6(1),* 181357.

- Boimau, E., Pingak, R., & Bernandus. (2017). Penentuan energi keadaan dasar sistem atom helium muonik eksotis (He²⁺μ.μ.) menggunakan prinsip variasi: The determination of ground state energy of muonic excotic He atom. Jurnal Fisika: Fisika Sains dan Aplikasinya 2(1), 1-9.
- Bransden, B. H., & Joachain, C. J. (2000). *Quantum Mechanics.* 2nd Edition. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Dar, D., Lacombe, L., Feist, J., & Maitra, N. T. (2021). Exact time-dependent densityfunctional theory for nonperturbative dynamics of the helium atom. *Physical Review A 104*, 032821.
- Delsy, E. V. Y., Iswanto, P., & Winaryo, S. (2017). Analisis hubungan kuantitatif struktur dan nilai konsentrasi misel kritik surfaktan anionik dengan metode semiempiris AM1 (Analysis of the quantitative relationship between structure and critical micelle concentration values of anionic surfactants using the AM1 semiempirical method). *Molekul 12(1)*, 53-60.
- Eskandari, M. R., & Rezaie, B. (2004). Calculation of the Ground-state Energy and Studies of other Properties of Exotic Helium Atoms with the Use of Boundary Conditions of Wave Function. International Journal of Quantum Chemistry 101(3), 320-324.
- Eskandari, M. R., & Rezaie, B. (2005). Calculation of the Ground-state Energy and Average Distance between Particles for the nonsymmetric Muonic ³He Atom. *Physical Review A 72(1)*, 012505.
- Frolov, A. M. (2012). The Hyperfine Structure of the Ground States in the Helium-muonic Atoms. *Physics Letters A 376(37)*, 2548-2551.
- Frolov, A. M., & Wardlaw, D. M. (2012). Stability and Hyperfine Structure of the Four- and Five-body muon atomic Clusters a⁺b⁺µ^{-e⁻} and a⁺b⁺e^{-e⁻}. *The European Physical Journal D 66*, 132.
- Hall, S., & Siegel, P. B. (2015). Calculating Helium Atomic Excited States in Coordinate Space. *American Journal of Physics 83(12)*, 1028-1038.
- Hutchinson, J., Baker, M., & Marsiglio, F. (2013). The spectral decomposition of the helium atom two-electron configuration in terms of hydrogenic orbitals. *European Journal of Physics 34(1)*, 111-128.
- Iswanto, P., Chasani, M., Harjono, Tahir, I., Hanafi, M., & Delsy, E. V. Y. (2011). Novel design of calanone derivatives as anti-leukimia compounds based on Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship analysis. *Indonesian Journal of Chemistry 11(1)*, 31-36.
- Iswanto, P., Delsy, E.V.Y., Setiawan, E., & Putra, F.A. (2019). Quantitative structure-property

relationship analysis against critical micelle concentration of sulfanate-based surfactant based on semiempirical Zindo/1 calculation. *Molekul 14(2)*, 78-83.

- Karshenboim, S. G., Ivanov, V. G., & Korobov, V. I. (2018). Low Energy Levels in Neutral Muonic Helium within a Nonrelativistic Approach. *Physical Review A 97(2)*, 022504.
- Kartono, A., Winata, T., & Sukirno. (2005). Applications of non-orthogonal Laguerre function basis in helium atom. *Applied Mathematics and Computation 163(2)*, 879-893.
- Khan, M. A. (2012). Hyperspherical Three-body Calculation for Muonic Atoms. *The European Physical Journal D 66*, 83.
- Khan, M. A. (2014). Application of Hyperspherical Harmonics Expansion Method to the Low-lying bound S-States of Exotic Two-muon Threebody Systems. *International Journal of Modern Physics E 23(10)*, 1450055.
- Khan, M. A. (2016). Free-body Model Approach to the Bound States of Helium-like Exotic Threebody Systems. *Journal of Physics Conference Series 759*,012054.
- King, A. W., Baskerville, A. L., & Cox, H. (2018). Hartree-Fock Implementation using a Laguerre-based wave function for the ground state and correlation energies of two-electron atoms. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A 376*, 20170153.
- Liverts, E. Z., & Barnea, N. (2012). S-states of helium-like ions. *Computer Physics Communications 183(3)*, 844-845.
- Liverts, E. Z., & Barnea, N. (2014). Analytic matrix elements for the two-electron atomic basis with logarithmic terms. *Journal of Mathematical Physics 55(8)*, 082104:1-12.
- Maahury, M.F., Male, Y.T., & Martoprawiro, M. A. (2020). DFT study of Leuco-Indigo and Indigo as Active material in Dye-Sensitized Solar Cell. *Molekul 15(2)*, 114-120.
- Masse, R. C., & Walker, T. G. (2015). Accurate Energies of the He Atom with Undergraduate Quantum Mechanics. *American Journal of Physics 83(8),* 730-732.
- McCarthy, S. P., & Thakkar, A. J. (2010). Electronpair Extracule Densities for Low-lying Excited States of He and Li⁺. *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry 111(4)*, 753-759.
- Metropolis, N., & Ulam, S. (1949). The Monte Carlo Method. *Journal of the American Statistical Association 44(247)*, 335-341.
- Montgomery Jr, H.E., & Pupyshev, V. I. (2019). Dipole Polarizability for Confined Two-electron Atomic Systems: Simple Approximations. *Physica Scripta 95(1)*, 015402.
- Montgomery Jr, H.E., Aquino, N., & Flores-Riveros, A. (2010). The Ground State Energy of a

Helium Atom under Strong Confinement. *Physics Letters A 374(19-20),* 2044-2047.

- Montgomery Jr, H.E., Katriel, J., & Sen, K. D. (2019). Asymtotic Behavior of Two-electron Expectation Values in Two-electron Excited States. *Physics Letters A 383(36)*, 126007.
- Morcillo-Arencibia, M. F., Alcaraz-Pelegrina, J. M., Sarsa, A. J., & Randazzo, J. M. (2023). Onand off-center helium atom in a spherical multilayer quantum dot with parabolic confinement. *The European Physical Journal Plus 138*, 456.
- Pingak, R. K., & Deta, U. A. (2020). A Simple Numerical Matrix Method for Accurate Triplet 1s2s ³S₁ Energy Levels of some Light Helium-Like Ions. *Journal of Physics Conference Series* 1491, 012035.
- Pingak, R. K., & Johannes, A. Z. (2020). Penentuan Tingkat-tingkat Energi Vibrasi Molekul Hidrogen pada Keadaan Elektronik Dasar menggunakan Potensial Morse (The determination of the vibrational energy of the molecular hydrogen in its electronic ground state using the Morse potential). Wahana Fisika 5(1), 1-9.
- Pingak, R. K., Ahab, A., & Deta, U. A. (2021). Ground State Energies of Helium-like lons using a simple Parameter-free Matrix Method. *Indonesian Journal of Chemistry 21(4)*, 1003-1019.
- Pingak, R. K., Kolmate R., & Bernandus. (2019). A Simple Matrix Approach to Determination of the Helium Atom Energies. *Jurnal Penelitian Fisika dan Aplikasinya 9(1),* 10-21.
- Pingak, R. K., Johannes, A. Z., Bukit, M., & Ngara, Z. S. (2021). Quantum Anharmonic Oscillators: A Truncated Matrix Approach. *Positron 11(1)*, 9-18.
- Pingak, R. K., Ngara, Z. S., Johannes, A. Z., & Bukit, M. (2023). Energy eigenvalues of the Morse oscillator using matrix mechanics based on the harmonic basis states. *AIP Conference Proceedings 2554*, 050005.
- Pingak, R. K., Johannes, A. Z., Ngara, Z. S., Bukit, M., Nitti, F., Tambaru, D., & Ndii, M. Z. (2021). Accuracy of Morse and Morse-like oscillators for diatomic molecular interaction: A comparative study. *Results in Chemistry 3*, 100204.
- Pingak, R. K., Johannes, A. Z., Nitti, F., & Ndii, M. Z. (2021). A Theoretical Study on Vibrational Energies of Molecular Hydrogen and Its Isotopes Using a Semi-classical Approximation. *Indonesian Journal of Chemistry 21(3)*, 725-739.
- Purwaningsih, S., Nurwantoro, P., & Hermanto, A. (2019). Calculation of Ground State Energy of Helium using Hylleraas Trial Function Expansion. *International Journal of*

Engineering Research and Technology 12(8), 1178-1182.

- Putlitz, G. Z. (1992). Opening the Symposium "The Future of Muon Physics". *Z. Phys. C – Particles and Fields 56,* 3-4.
- Saputra, A., Wijaya K., Armunanto, R., Tania, L., & Tahir, I. (2017). Determination of effective functional monomer and solvent for R(+)cathinone imprinted polymer using Density Functional Theory and Molecular Dynamics simulation approaches. *Indonesian Journal of Chemistry 17(3)*, 516-522.
- Setiawan, E., & Zulys, A. (2015). Studi teoritis struktur dan spektrum elektronik kompleks [Ln(pytpy)(NO₃)₃] secara komputasi metode Sparkle/RM1 (A theoretical study the electronic structure and spectrum of [Ln(pytpy)(NO₃)₃] complex computationally using Sparkle/RM1). *Molekul 10*(1),19-26.
- Setiawan, E., Mudasir, & Wijaya, K. (2020). Application of quantitative structure-property relationship (QSPR) models for the predictions of critical micelle concentration of gemini imidazolium surfactants. *IOP Conference*

Series: Materials Science and Engineering 742, 012022.

- Sholihun, Kadarisman, H.P., & Nurwantoro, P. (2018). Density-Functional-Theory calculations of formation energy of the nitrogen-doped diamond. *Indonesian Journal of Chemistry 18(4)*, 749-754.
- Snajberk, P. B. (2017). Direct Density Matrix Renormalization Group Approaches for Strong Correlation Effects in Quantum Chemistry. München: PhD Dissertation.
- Sutapa, I.W., Armunanto, R., & Wijaya, K. (2010). Effect of scandium on hydrogen dissociation energy at magnesium surface: *ab initio* DFT study. *Indonesian Journal of Chemistry 10(2)*,184-188.
- White, S. R. (1992). Density matrix formulation for quantum renormalization groups. *Physical Review Letters 69*, 2863.
- Young, T.D., Vargas, R., & Garza, J. A. (2016). A Hartree-Fock Study of the Confined Helium Atom: Local and Global Basis Set Approaches. *Physics Letter A 380(5-6)*, 712-717.